



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,250	10/17/2005	Hiroshi Kase	00005.001217.	6976
5514 7590 03/04/2009 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				
EXAMINER				
CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/04/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/553,250

Applicant(s)

KASE ET AL.

Examiner

Renee Claytor

Art Unit

1617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Currently, claims 21-25, 31 and 69-70 are pending and claims 21 and 23-34 are under examination. Claims 22, 25, 31, 69 and 70 are withdrawn.

Applicants present arguments over the 35 USC 103 rejection. In particular, Applicant's argue that the Goodman reference was interpreted wrong. It is argued that Goodman teaches that antidepressants are leading choices in the treatment of severe anxiety disorders that are comorbid in depressive illness. Applicants further argue that the Merck Manual Home Edition teaches that benzodiazepines are commonly used as antianxiety drugs and are indicated for anxiety that is not comorbid with depression and that antidepressants are generally not useful for the treatment of anxiety disorders.

In response to the above arguments, it is noted that Goodman & Gilman's is not only referring to anxiety that is comorbid with depressive illness. In particular, Goodman & Gilman's states that antidepressants are the leading choices in the treatment of severe anxiety disorders and including the common comorbidity of anxiety in depression. This means that antidepressants help comorbidity of anxiety in depression in addition to severe anxiety disorders alone. Therefore, it is noted that antidepressants are considered one form of treatment for anxiety. The Examiner does not disagree that benzodiazepines are useful in treatment of anxiety; however, there are often different lines of treatment that are used in treating various types of mood disorders. Accordingly, per the teachings of Goodman & Gilman's, it is noted that antidepressants are the leading treatment of anxiety.

Accordingly, the rejections are maintained and are given below for Applicant's convenience. Because Applicants did not amend the claims or present new arguments over the pending claims, the following rejection is being made final.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 23-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (US Patent 5,543,415) in view of Goodman & Gilman's: The Pharmacological Basis for Therapeutics, Tenth Edition, 2001, page 469.

Suzuki et al. teach antidepressant compositions containing a xanthine derivative or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, with the xanthine derivative being represented by Formula I (Col. 2, lines 1-41). In particular, Compound 74 overlaps with present claims 21 and 23 (see Table 1 and Reference Example 71). Test Example 1 shows the effectiveness of the compounds of Formula I (including Compound 74) in an animal model of depression.

Suzuki et al. do not specifically state that the compositions of the invention will treat anxiety.

Goodman & Gilman's teaches that antidepressants are leading choices in the treatment of severe anxiety disorders, including generalized anxiety disorder, social

phobia and obsessive-compulsive disorder and including the common comorbidity of anxiety in depressive illness (page 469).

Accordingly, one would be motivated to combine the teachings of Suzuki et al., which teach that compounds of formulas (I-A) and (I-B) of the present invention (including (E)-3-(3,4-dimethoxystyryl)-1,3-diethyl-7-methylxanthine)) as xanthine derivatives that treat depression, with the teachings of Goodman & Gilman's which teach that antidepressants are the leading choice in the treatment of severe anxiety disorders. Because Goodman & Gilman's teaches that antidepressants are the leading choice for treating severe anxiety, one would be motivated to use the (E)-3-(3,4-dimethoxystyryl)-1,3-diethyl-7-methylxanthine) which is known as an anti-depressant, to treat anxiety.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is (571)272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617